

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/766,993	01/28/2004	Chia-Hwa Chang	016976-000810US	5009
	7590 11/13/200 AND TOWNSEND AN	EXAMINER		
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			SINGH, ANOOP KUMAR	
EIGHTH FLO	OR SCO, CA 94111-3834	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,	1632		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/13/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/766,993	CHANG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
ANOOP SINGH	1632		
ANOUP SINGH	1632		

	ANOOP SINGH	1632						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 21 October 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.						
∑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 or CR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth inter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	date of the final rejection	n.					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(I								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (a) above, if checket. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the malling date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal has been filed any reply must be filed with the Notice of Appeal was filed on	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below 	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
 (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or 	er form for appeal by materially rec	lucing or simplifying th	ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		l be entered and an e	planation of					
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 1.4.5.7-13.18-21.25 and 26. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 16-17, 23-24.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
 The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet. 	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
	/Deborah Crouch/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1632						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Claims 1, 4-5, 7-13, 18-21, 25 and 26 remain rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpartentable over claims 1-19 of U.S. Patent No. US Patent 7,312,076 (application no 11620,588) for the ross of record. Applicants arguments filed on 10/21/20/9 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants' submission of a terminal disclaimer disclaiming the terminal portion of the term of a patent granted on the instant application over U.S. Patent No. 312,076 filed on October 21, 20/9 for obviating the rejection is not approved as it lacks statement under 37 CFR 3.73(b) as required if terminal disclaimer is signed by the assignee (owner). Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 1, 4.5, 9, 11-13, 18-21, 25 and 26 remain provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-3, 5-6, 8-10 of copending Application No. 11938944 in view of Boyd (US 6, 193, 932, US) for the reasons of record. The rejection is maintained as other rejections are remaining in the instant application and none of the claims are allowable at present.

/Anoop Singh/ AU 1632